

31st October 2023 / Issue #23 Time to read: 10 minutes

The Road Ahead is a series of short comments on investment strategy by Henry Neville.

No-one likes getting dumped. But it can be the right call – the relationship has structurally broken and you're in a new regime. But, as the song goes, love don't come easy. Sometimes, after a hiatus, an old relationship is rekindled with, dare I say, newfound passion. This is not autobiographical, I hasten to add. Anyway, enough of the agony aunt, this tortured analogy is ready to confess. In today's markets there are three glaring relationship breakdowns which have been widely commented on. Whether the final destination is the scrapheap or a steamy reunion remains to be seen, but will be pivotal for markets over the next 12 months. In the below I'll give some potted views (because I like the sound of my own voice), but also try to put some parameters around what it means in performance terms if old associations renew (because that's likely more useful to you).

Relationship #1: The 10-year inverse link between the PE and the real yield

What is it?

Figure 1 shows this graphically. For the last decade as real yields have risen, the price-to-earnings multiple has fallen, and vice versa. And this makes sense philosophically and mathematically. If real yields are rising, so is cost of capital. If cost of capital is rising then the present value of future cashflows is lower, and you should pay less for them, hence a lower multiple.



Figure 1. The Inverse Relationship Between the US PE and the 10-Year Real Yield

Source: Bloomberg, Man Group calculations. Original inspiration from Peter Oppenheimer at Goldman Sachs. The data runs from December 2013 to September 2023.

1. I have seen each of these charts from at least three different commentators. In each instance I'm going to credit the person who showed it to me first. Anyone else who gets offended has an overly attenuated sense of their own originality.

What it means for markets

Since September 2022 an anomalous rift has opened. Here's a flow of logic.

- 1. EITHER the relationship is broken, OR it's not.
- 2. IF it's not, THEN EITHER the multiple needs to fall seven points from 18x to 11x, OR the real yield needs to fall 200bps from 2.2% to 0.2%, OR some combination of the two.
- 3. IF the driver is the multiple falling, THEN EITHER the price needs to fall to 2,607 (a 39% decline from the current level of 4,246), OR expected earnings need to rise to \$388 (a 63% rise from current levels of \$238), OR some combination of the two.
- 4. IF the driver is the real yield falling, THEN EITHER the nominal yield needs to fall 200bps (which, as at the end of September, would have meant a decline from 4.6% to 2.6%), OR inflation expectations need to rise 200bps (which, as at the end of September, would have meant the 10-year breakeven rising from 2.3% to 4.3%), OR some combination of the two.

Why might it be broken?

Go back much before the time period I show in the chart and you'll see no relationship. Likely this is to do with the aftermath of two emblematic crises. In the wake of both the DotCom bust and the GFC, despite fast falls in real yields, multiples also derated. This was because, in both instances, long-term growth expectations were slain. In the former because utopian dreams of what the Internet would mean were dashed (of course arguably these were realised, just on a longer timeframe than had been expected), and in the latter because mortgage Armageddon presented the risk that Western financial systems would go neolithic.

What I think

It may be the case that something similar is happening today. The market pricing in a new regime of more inflation, more volatility, more geopolitics and so forth, a future less benign for global growth prospects than was enjoyed through the 2010s. I have some sympathy for this view. My sense is that the relationship is somewhat broken, but that some reversion is probable through the next 12 months. Running through the flow of logic above, re (2) I'd go for an equal contribution for multiple derating and real yield decline. (3) I think this comes more from prices falling than earnings rising. (4) I don't see inflation expectations rising much, and more likely falling, so for me it all comes from nominal yields going south.

Relationship #2: The 15-year inverse link between the gold price and the real yield

What is it?

See Figure 2. Again, there's a strong economic rationale. I'm not just committing chart crime with my axes. Gold yields nothing. So if real yields are rising then so is the opportunity cost of having capital tied up in a hunk of the shiny stuff. And thus we would expect investors to sell the asset as it becomes less attractive on this basis.

Figure 2. The Inverse Relationship Between the Gold Price and the 10-Year Real Yield



Source: Bloomberg, Man Group calculations. Original inspiration from Jo Kalish at Ned Davies Research. The data runs from December 2008 to September 2023.

What it means for markets

This relationship has been on a stable footing for the last 15 years, until early in 2022. Here's another flow of logic (hopefully you're picking up the pattern here...):

- 1. EITHER the relationship is broken, OR it's not.
- 2. IF it's not, THEN EITHER the gold price needs to fall to, call it \$840 (a 57% decline from current levels of \$1,971), OR the real yield needs to fall 320bps from 2.2% to -1%, OR some combination of the two.
- 3. IF the driver is the real yield falling, THEN EITHER the nominal yield needs to fall 320bps (which, as at the end of September, would have meant a decline from 4.6% to 1.4%), OR inflation expectations need to rise 320bps (which, as at the end of September, would have meant the 10-year breakeven rising from 2.3% to 5.5%), OR some combination of the two.

Why might it be broken?

One thing to remember is that **gold has two return drivers** (possibly more, but these are two that seem obvious to me). **The first, as already discussed and illustrated** in the chart, is the inverse correlation with real rates. The second is crisis alpha, the perception that gold is a safe haven, a very present help in times of **trouble.** In case you've been asleep for the last 18 months, hate to break it to you, but the world's got a bit sketchy. So perhaps the crisis alpha component is dominating the inverse real rate correlation.

Another contender to explain the dislocation is the multi-polar world argument. Data from the World Gold Council suggests that between July 2022 and March 2023, global central banks bought net 1,100 tonnes of the yellow metal. In a world where America's enemies worry that the dollar is being weaponised against them, and some of America's fringe-friends worry that it may in future be used in the same way, there could be an additional bid for the oldest of currencies.

What I think

Again, I think there is legitimacy to both arguments. **Undoubtedly, the signal-to-noise ratio here is now lower than it was two years ago. This being said, as with the first relationship, neither do I think it is completely broken.** Re (2), I think both move (so **gold and real rates both down**). (3) I've already discussed as part of Relationship #1 so I'll save the repetition, suffice to say that what my views for both #1 and #2 have in common is the implication of lower nominal yields if the links reassert themselves. I made the case for bonds over equities two notes ago. I still think that.

Relationship #3: The 30-year link between the SLOOS lending survey and real GDP

What is it?

This one has a bit more noise than the others but, as Figure 3 shows, over the last three decades there has been a broad relationship between the Senior Loan Officer Opinion Survey (SLOOS) measure of credit conditions and overall economic growth. Again there is an economic justification. Where lenders are tightening conditions and/or people don't want to borrow, there is likely less activity (assuming organic growth is not surging). Less activity = worse GDP prints.

>0 SLOOS Lending Survey Easing Standards
Stronger Credit Deman GDP 6% 0% -2% -4% -6% Dec-99 Oct-20 Dec-93 Dec-96 Dec-02 Nov-05 Nov-08 Oct-17 Sep-23 Nov-11 Oct-14 Combined Easing and Stronger Demand (LHS) US Real GDP YoY (RHS)

Figure 3. The Relationship Between US Credit Conditions and Economic Growth

Source: Bloomberg, Man Group calculations. Original inspiration from Chris Wood at Jefferies. The data runs from December 1993 to September 2023

What it means for markets

Recent history, since end of last year in this case, big gap. I won't bother with the same detail for this one because it's obvious. EITHER lending conditions improve dramatically, OR growth moves from 2.4% to -3.1% (and, spelling it out, that's a recession) OR some combination of the two.

Why might it be broken?

I've touched on it, but in an environment where organic growth was exploding (in the good sense), it wouldn't matter if credit conditions were a bit patchy, recession would likely be averted. Maybe AI is that.

Equally, it could be that tightening credit conditions just aren't having the same (painful) impact on the consumer that prior cycles have seen. Various theories have been advanced. One is the volume of excess savings built up during the pandemic days has blunted the impact of higher monthly borrowing costs. There's a bigger piggy to dip into. Another is that, if I'm sitting on a 30-year mortgage at 3%, I can look on at current rates of 8% with self-satisfied disinterest, thank you very much.

What I think

Of all the three broken relationships, here I find the arguments for permanent break least convincing. One of the most ubiquitous pieces of financial collateral out there is down by a fifth. It is curious to me that this can happen without some arcane piece of financial plumbing (which turns out to be really important) going twang.² Sure Credit Suisse went bust. But I would have expected a bit more. Or at least for it to be a bit harder to clear up. Geopolitical risk is...err...higher. House prices in the US are still

at highs despite the rise in mortgage rates. A lot of corporates are on the verge of refinancing at (way) higher cost. Massive yield curve inversion. Cyclical sectors of the labour market (e.g. temporary vacancies) rolling. I could go on. It ain't a great look.

In conclusion...

If you take my line, then the immediate conclusion is bearish. With the twist that it will be gold and not Treasuries that surprise by not fulfilling their traditional risk-off price action. But there's perhaps a more nuanced take which is the return of volatility and dispersion. Because either these patterns revert, in which case expect some pretty violent moves, as outlined, or the investment landscape is very different to what we've been used to over the last 1-3 decades. And who knows what that really means but the gut says it's not strawberries and cream on a midsummer's afternoon.

In either eventuality, expect turbulence. In the words of Colonel Kilgore, someday this war's going to end. Don't expect the transition to be smooth.

Author

Henry Neville, CFA

Portfolio Manager, Man Solutions



Henry Neville is a multi-asset portfolio manager at Man Solutions. Prior to joining Man Group in 2016, Henry completed the graduate program at Hoares Bank. Henry writes The Road Ahead, a regular series of macroeconomic commentary, published on Man Institute. He is also the co-author *The Best Strategies for Inflationary*

Times, winner of the prestigious 2022 Bernstein Fabozzi/Jacobs Levy Best Article Award. Henry studied History and Economics at St. Andrew's University. He is also a CFA charterholder.

Important Information

This information is communicated and/or distributed by the relevant Man entity identified below (collectively the 'Company') subject to the following conditions and restriction in their respective jurisdictions.

Opinions expressed are those of the author and may not be shared by all personnel of Man Group plc ('Man'). These opinions are subject to change without notice, are for information purposes only and do not constitute an offer or invitation to make an investment in any financial instrument or in any product to which the Company and/or its affiliates provides investment advisory or any other financial services. Any organisations, financial instrument or products described in this material are mentioned for reference purposes only which should not be considered a recommendation for their purchase or sale. Neither the Company nor the authors shall be liable to any person for any action taken on the basis of the information provided. Some statements contained in this material concerning goals, strategies, outlook or other non-historical matters may be forward-looking statements and are based on current indicators and expectations. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the statements. The Company and/or its affiliates may or may not have a position in any financial instrument mentioned and may or may not be actively trading in any such securities. Past performance is not indicative of future results.

Unless stated otherwise this information is communicated by the relevant entity listed below.

Australia: To the extent this material is distributed in Australia it is communicated by Man Investments Australia Limited ABN 47 002 747 480 AFSL 240581, which is regulated by the Australian Securities & Investments Commission (ASIC). This information has been prepared without taking into account anyone's objectives, financial situation or needs.

Austria/Germany/Liechtenstein: To the extent this material is distributed in Austria, Germany and/or Liechtenstein it is communicated by Man (Europe) AG, which is authorised and regulated by the Liechtenstein Financial Market Authority (FMA). Man (Europe) AG is registered in the Principality of Liechtenstein no. FL-0002.420.371-2. Man (Europe) AG is an associated participant in the investor compensation scheme, which is operated by the Deposit Guarantee and Investor Compensation Foundation PCC (FL-0002.039.614-1) and corresponds with EU law. Further information is available on the Foundation's website under www.eas-liechtenstein.li. This material is of a promotional nature.

European Economic Area: Unless indicated otherwise this material is communicated in the European Economic Area by Man Asset Management (Ireland) Limited ('MAMIL') which is registered in Ireland under company number 250493 and has its registered office at 70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Grand Canal Dock, Dublin 2, Ireland. MAMIL is authorised and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland under number C22513.

Hong Kong SAR: To the extent this material is distributed in Hong Kong SAR, this material is communicated by Man Investments (Hong Kong) Limited and has not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission in Hong Kong. This material can only be communicated to intermediaries, and professional clients who are within one of the professional investors exemptions contained in the Securities and Futures Ordinance and must not be relied upon by any other person(s).

Japan: To the extent this material is distributed in Japan it is communicated by Man Group Japan Limited, Financial Instruments Business Operator, Director of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial instruments firms) No. 624 for the purpose of providing information on investment strategies, investment services, etc. provided by Man Group, and is not a disclosure document based on laws and regulations. This material can only be communicated only to professional investors (i.e. specific investors or institutional investors as defined under Financial Instruments Exchange Law) who may have sufficient knowledge and experience of related risks.

Switzerland: To the extent the material is made available in Switzerland the communicating entity is:

- For Clients (as such term is defined in the Swiss Financial Services Act): Man Investments (CH) AG, Huobstrasse 3, 8808 Pfäffikon SZ, Switzerland.
 Man Investment (CH) AG is regulated by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority ('FINMA'); and
- For Financial Service Providers (as defined in Art. 3 d. of FINSA, which are not Clients): Man Investments AG, Huobstrasse 3, 8808 Pfäffikon SZ, Switzerland, which is regulated by FINMA.

United Kingdom: Unless indicated otherwise this material is communicated in the United Kingdom by Man Solutions Limited ('MSL') which is a private limited company registered in England and Wales under number 3385362. MSL is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (the 'FCA') under number 185637 and has its registered office at Riverbank House, 2 Swan Lane, London, EC4R 3AD, United Kingdom.

United States: To the extent this material is distributed in the United States, it is communicated and distributed by Man Investments, Inc. ('Man Investments'). Man Investments is registered as a broker-dealer with the SEC and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ('FINRA'). Man Investments is also a member of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation ('SIPC'). Man Investments is a wholly owned subsidiary of Man Group plc. The registration and memberships described above in no way imply a certain level of skill or expertise or that the SEC, FINRA or the SIPC have endorsed Man Investments. Man Investments, 1345 Avenue of the Americas, 21st floor, New York, NY 10105.

This material is proprietary information and may not be reproduced or otherwise disseminated in whole or in part without prior written consent. Any data services and information available from public sources used in the creation of this material are believed to be reliable. However accuracy is not warranted or guaranteed. ©Man 2023.

MKT009639/ST/GL/W